EXHIBIT G

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK In re: CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, et al., Debtor. ***CONFIDENTIAL*** REMOTE DEPOSITION OF TIM BROADFOOT Friday, September 1, 2023 Reported by: Rebecca Schaumloffel, RPR, CLR JOB #: 2023-909836 TIME: 6:594 a.m. Eastern

			147
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
07:48AM	2	that Celsius didn't just pay one deposit,	
07:48AM	3	right?	
07:48AM	4	A. Celsius to pay previously paid	
07:49AM	5	and multiple transactions, a deposit.	
07:49AM	6	Q. So wait a second. Is it your	
07:49AM	7	position that Celsius only paid one deposit?	
07:49AM	8	A. Without drawing to a legal	
07:49AM	9	conclusion, the contract here refers to a	
07:49AM	10	deposit amount, and that would encompass all	
07:49AM	11	payments for that purpose.	
07:49AM	12	Q. So it's your position well,	
07:49AM	13	withdrawn.	
07:49AM	14	It says, "The amount of any	
07:49AM	15	depositshall be set out in Addendum A as	
07:49AM	16	'Deposit Amount'."	
07:49AM	17	Do you see that?	
07:49AM	18	A. Yes.	
07:49AM	19	Q. And then it says, a customer will	
07:49AM	20	"forfeit the applicable deposit," correct?	
07:49AM	21	A. Correct.	
07:49AM	22	Q. So I just want to make this very	
07:50AM	23	clear for the record.	
07:50AM	24	Is it Mawson's position that	
07:50AM	25	Celsius paid one deposit under the	

			148
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
07:50AM	2	Co-Location Agreement or multiple deposits	
07:50AM	3	under the Co-Location Agreement?	
07:50AM	4	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
07:50AM	5	A. Mawson's theory is that there is a	
07:50AM	6	single contract and a single deposit.	
07:50AM	7	Q. Okay. Let's turn to Addendum A,	
07:50AM	8	and that's page 17 of the PDF, it's Luna	
07:50AM	9	'1230.	
07:50AM	10	You just testified that there is a	
07:50AM	11	single deposit. Let's look at the deposit	
07:50AM	12	amount section.	
07:50AM	13	Do you see the contract date	
07:50AM	14	column?	
07:50AM	15	A. Yes.	
07:50AM	16	Q. It says, "Deposits," plural, "to	
07:50AM	17	be paid 14 days prior to commissioning of any	
07:50AM	18	units," correct?	
07:50AM	19	A. Correct.	
07:51AM	20	Q. So there is actually multiple	
07:51AM	21	deposits that Celsius paid under the	
07:51AM	22	contract, correct?	
07:51AM	23	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
07:51AM	24	Calls for a legal conclusion.	
07:51AM	25	A. Without drawing a legal	

			158
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:03AM	2	language under the "Monthly Power Rate"	
08:03AM	3	piece, it says, "The first 50MW will be at	
08:03AM	4	\$41/MWH," right?	
08:03AM	5	A. It does, but that differs the	
08:03AM	6	wording changes in the Addendum the	
08:03AM	7	amendment.	
08:03AM	8	Q. Okay. All right. So let's look	
08:03AM	9	at the	
08:03AM	10	A. It's not	
08:03AM	11	Q. Got it.	
08:03AM	12	All right. Let's look at the	
08:03AM	13	right-hand column. It says, "Cost to	
08:04AM	14	comprise of the total of."	
08:04AM	15	Do you see that?	
08:04AM	16	A. I do.	
08:04AM	17	Q. And it says, "Luna Power Cost."	
08:04AM	18	Do you see that?	
08:04AM	19	A. I do.	
08:04AM	20	Q. And that's effectively a	
08:04AM	21	passthrough of the cost that Luna is paying	
08:04AM	22	for power, right?	
08:04AM	23	A. Correct.	
08:04AM	24	Q. And so that's net power costs,	
08:04AM	25	correct?	

			159
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:04AM	2	A. Correct.	
08:04AM	3	Q. That accounts for, for example,	
08:04AM	4	credits that Luna would receive under its	
08:04AM	5	power arrangements, right?	
08:04AM	6	A. No, that is not correct.	
08:04AM	7	Q. Why not?	
08:04AM	8	A. That's a separate mechanism.	
08:04AM	9	Q. So it's Luna's testimony that	
08:04AM	10	or it's Mawson's testimony that the deal they	
08:04AM	11	struck was that you get to pass through the	
08:04AM	12	power costs, we have to pay a margin, but	
08:04AM	13	Mawson keeps all of the upside for credits	
08:04AM	14	and other incentives?	
08:04AM	15	A. If Mawson takes out strategic	
08:05AM	16	strategies to be able to hedge its position,	
08:05AM	17	yes.	
08:05AM	18	Q. Okay.	
08:05AM	19	MR. MCCARRICK: We can take that	
08:05AM	20	document down.	
08:05AM	21	We would like to introduce	
08:05AM	22	Mawson Exhibit 4, which is going to be	
08:05AM	23	tab 3 in the Zip, Mr. Young. It's a	
08:05AM	24	February 23, 2022, Addendum.	
08:05AM	25	MR. MARTOS: If we are going to	

			169
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:19AM	2	Q. Yeah, and I'm not trying to	
08:19AM	3	exclude that. Obviously there's greater or	
08:19AM	4	less amounts owed. There's interests.	
08:19AM	5	There's all those bells and whistles.	
08:19AM	6	But the principal amount of the	
08:19AM	7	loan that Luna received under the Secured	
08:19AM	8	Promissory Note was \$20 million, right?	
08:19AM	9	A. That's correct.	
08:19AM	10	Q. Was that \$20 million received into	
08:19AM	11	Luna Squares or a different Mawson entity?	
08:19AM	12	A. It was paid to Luna Squares.	
08:19AM	13	Q. Okay. After it was paid to Luna	
08:19AM	14	Squares, did it was that money ever	
08:19AM	15	transferred to Mawson or Cosmos?	
08:19AM	16	A. I believe it was for the purchase	
08:20AM	17	of assets.	
08:20AM	18	Q. Okay. When would that have	
08:20AM	19	occurred?	
08:20AM	20	A. In the end of Q1 and Q2 2022.	
08:20AM	21	MR. MCCARRICK: Now let's go to	
08:20AM	22	page 4.	
08:20AM	23	Q. Do you see the "Negative	
08:20AM	24	Covenants" section? And we're going to be	
08:20AM	25	looking at Romanette 13, just the first one	

Tim Broadfoot - September 01, 2023

183 T. BROADFOOT 1 08:38AM 2. Α. Sorry, can you just say that 08:38AM question again? I lost my train of thought 3 08:38AM 4 with the objection. 08:38AM Q. Yeah, sure. Yeah, sure. Yeah. The question is, what's the 08:38AM 6 08:38AM 7 relationship between the asset collateral, that is, Celsius' under the Promissory Note, 08:38AM 8 and the debt obligations that you referenced 08:38AM 9 08:39AM yesterday at Mawson and Cosmos? 10 MR. MARTOS: Object to form. 08:39AM 11 08:39AM 12 Α. Without speaking to any specific 08:39AM 13 privileged conversation, it was to do with the movement of assets whilst potentially in 08:39AM 14 08:39AM 15 a default situation. So fraudulent transfer concern? 08:39AM 16 Ο. 08:39AM 17 MR. MARTOS: Object to form. 08:39AM 18 I can't speak to the legal terminology or reasoning, sorry. 08:39AM 19 08:39AM 20 0. Okay. Okay. Let's go to the "ARTICLE III," "Representations and 08:39AM 21 Warranties, " and let's look at section 3.1, 08:39AM 22 08:39AM 23 which is titled "Title, Perfection and 08:39AM 24 Priority." 08:39AM 25 Take a minute to review that and

			184
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:39AM	2	give me the "hi" sign when you're done.	
08:40AM	3	A. I've read it.	
08:40AM	4	Q. Okay. It says, "Each Grantor,	
08:40AM	5	jointly and severally, represents and	
08:40AM	6	warrants to Holder."	
08:40AM	7	Do you see that?	
08:40AM	8	A. I do.	
08:40AM	9	Q. And "Grantor" refers to, at a	
08:40AM	10	minimum, Luna, right?	
08:40AM	11	A. Refers to the three entities.	
08:40AM	12	Q. Okay. So just to be clear, "Each	
08:40AM	13	Grantor" means Mawson, Luna, and Cosmos,	
08:40AM	14	"jointly and severally, represents and	
08:40AM	15	warrant," right, to Celsius?	
08:40AM	16	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
08:41AM	17	A. That's what it says, yes.	
08:41AM	18	Q. Okay. It says, "Such Grantor has	
08:41AM	19	good and valid rights in or the power to	
08:41AM	20	transfer the Collateral and title to the	
08:41AM	21	Collateral with respect to which it has	
08:41AM	22	purported to grant a security interest	
08:41AM	23	hereunder."	
08:41AM	24	Do you see that?	
08:41AM	25	A. I do.	

			185
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:41AM	2	Q. So Luna is representing here that	
08:41AM	3	it had "good and valid rights in or the power	
08:41AM	4	to transfer the Collateral and title to the	
08:41AM	5	Collateral" in which it granted a security	
08:41AM	6	interest, correct?	
08:41AM	7	A. Correct.	
08:41AM	8	Q. Is that a true representation or a	
08:41AM	9	false representation?	
08:41AM	10	A. It's a true representation.	
08:41AM	11	Q. So it's your testimony that Luna	
08:41AM	12	had a "good and valid" right "in or the power	
08:41AM	13	to transfer the Collateral and title to the	
08:41AM	14	Collateral" in which it granted a security	
08:42AM	15	interest in the preceding paragraph in	
08:42AM	16	section 2.1, I should say?	
08:42AM	17	A. It had the power to transfer.	
08:42AM	18	Q. How did it have the power to	
08:42AM	19	transfer collateral that it never owned?	
08:42AM	20	A. There is a subsequent movement of	
08:42AM	21	funds which would have a receivable, would	
08:42AM	22	allow it to transfer that collateral.	
08:42AM	23	Q. What was the subsequent movement	
08:42AM	24	of funds?	
08:42AM	25	A. To pay for the Collateral.	

			192
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:50AM	2	Collateral did Luna have withdrawn.	
08:50AM	3	What power did Luna have to	
08:50AM	4	transfer the asset Collateral under the	
08:50AM	5	Promissory Note without the involvement of	
08:50AM	6	any of the Grantors?	
08:51AM	7	A. Without involving the other party,	
08:51AM	8	it	
08:51AM	9	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.	
08:51AM	10	I'm sorry. Counsel, I think I lost a	
08:51AM	11	word.	
08:51AM	12	MR. MARTOS: There was an object	
08:51AM	13	to form.	
08:51AM	14	THE COURT REPORTER: And I'm not	
08:51AM	15	sure I have a complete answer.	
08:51AM	16	BY MR. MCCARRICK:	
08:51AM	17	Q. Could you repeat your answer,	
08:51AM	18	Mr. Broadfoot?	
08:51AM	19	A. Can you ask the question again?	
08:51AM	20	Sorry.	
08:51AM	21	Q. Yeah, yeah. That's fine. It will	
08:51AM	22	be cleaner that way.	
08:51AM	23	A. I forgot what I said.	
08:51AM	24	Q. Without the involvement of Mawson	
08:51AM	25	or Cosmos, what power did Luna have to	

			193
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:51AM	2	transfer the asset Collateral under the	
08:51AM	3	Promissory Note in which it purported to	
08:51AM	4	grant Celsius a security interest?	
08:51AM	5	A. Without involving another party,	
08:51AM	6	it could not.	
08:51AM	7	Q. Without involving Cosmos or	
08:52AM	8	Mawson, Luna had no power to transfer the	
08:52AM	9	asset Collateral under the Promissory Note in	
08:52AM	10	which it purported to grant Celsius a	
08:52AM	11	security interest, true?	
08:52AM	12	A. Without involving them, it could	
08:52AM	13	not have feigned that. However, it would	
08:52AM	14	have had the power to cause them to be	
08:52AM	15	involved and transferred.	
08:52AM	16	MR. MCCARRICK: Jeremy, can you	
08:52AM	17	stop striking through. Thank you.	
08:52AM	18	Q. Well, let's talk about the power,	
08:52AM	19	right?	
08:52AM	20	Mawson excuse me, withdrawn.	
08:52AM	21	Celsius has made a request to Luna	
08:52AM	22	to make sure that the asset Collateral is	
08:52AM	23	held by Luna, correct?	
08:53AM	24	A. Yes.	
08:53AM	25	Q. That hasn't happened, correct?	

	_		194
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
08:53AM	2	A. That's correct.	
08:53AM	3	Q. The reason is because Mawson and	
08:53AM	4	Cosmos aren't letting it happen, correct?	
08:53AM	5	A. I'm not sure that we can draw a	
08:53AM	6	distinction on	
08:53AM	7	Q. Okay. So just to be clear,	
08:53AM	8	you're	
08:53AM	9	A what's stopping it.	
08:53AM	10	Q. Well, fair to say that if Luna	
08:53AM	11	were to ask for the asset Collateral under	
08:53AM	12	the Promissory Note in which it grants	
08:53AM	13	Celsius' security interest to be held by Luna	
08:53AM	14	and not by Mawson and Cosmos, would that	
08:54AM	15	occur or would that not occur?	
08:54AM	16	MR. MARTOS: Object to the form.	
08:54AM	17	A. That's a forward-looking	
08:54AM	18	hypothetical and we would take advice on the	
08:54AM	19	matter.	
08:54AM	20	Q. That's not really a hypothetical,	
08:54AM	21	though, right? I mean, Celsius already has	
08:54AM	22	asked Luna to put the Collateral in the right	
08:54AM	23	box, correct?	
08:54AM	24	A. Yes. Your question asked if it	
08:54AM	25	asked them to.	

			206
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
09:10AM	2	happening. And so you are asking for a	
09:10AM	3	judgment that I don't have.	
09:10AM	4	Q. Sure.	
09:10AM	5	The reason I'm asking is because	
09:10AM	6	it speaks to whether or not Mawson's reason	
09:10AM	7	understanding of the contract and when and	
09:10AM	8	how deposits are refundable is a sensible	
09:10AM	9	reading of the contract. And so I am asking	
09:10AM	10	for Mawson's judgments.	
09:10AM	11	A. Okay. That's a different	
09:10AM	12	question. And if we are going to go to the	
09:10AM	13	contract and it's nondelivery and	
09:10AM	14	nonperformance, then our reading of the	
09:10AM	15	contract would be that it is forfeitable	
09:10AM	16	under the analysis.	
09:10AM	17	Q. Right. So under Mawson's reading	
09:10AM	18	of the contract, if Celsius fell short by one	
09:10AM	19	rig, the entire deposit is forfeitable?	
09:11AM	20	A. In that hypothetical situation,	
09:11AM	21	yes.	
09:11AM	22	Q. Do you think that's a just result?	
09:11AM	23	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
09:11AM	24	MR. MCCARRICK: Only a lawyer	
09:11AM	25	would object to that question.	

			211
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
09:16AM	2	the Company will continue as a going	
09:16AM	3	concern"?	
09:16AM	4	A. I do.	
09:16AM	5	Q. Was that accurate or inaccurate?	
09:16AM	6	A. The analysis included that, yes.	
09:17AM	7	Q. Okay. Then, ultimately, ended up	
09:17AM	8	not being the case, fair?	
09:17AM	9	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
09:17AM	10	A. I don't agree with that, but	
09:17AM	11	MR. MCCARRICK: Let's go to page	
09:17AM	12	30 of the PDF, Luna '671. And I would	
09:17AM	13	like to blow up the last paragraph.	
09:17AM	14	Q. Do you see the last sentence that	
09:17AM	15	says, "We have an aggregate of \$22.94 million	
09:17AM	16	of debt and 15.33 million of customer	
09:17AM	17	deposits that is required to be repaid within	
09:17AM	18	eleven months unless we refinance or	
09:17AM	19	renegotiate the terms"?	
09:17AM	20	A. Yes, I see that.	
09:17AM	21	Q. That \$15.33 million of customer	
09:17AM	22	deposits is referring to the Celsius	
09:17AM	23	deposits, correct?	
09:17AM	24	A. It is.	
09:17AM	25	Q. And as of May 2023, Mawson is	

			218
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
09:25AM	2	right?	
09:25AM	3	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
09:25AM	4	A. So the there are an awful lot	
09:25AM	5	of factors in this to consider. But the	
09:25AM	6	legal view at the time was to disclose, as	
09:25AM	7	has been, to the SEC. If the legal view is	
09:26AM	8	changed, that happens.	
09:26AM	9	Q. Okay. Just that's a fair and a	
09:26AM	10	fine answer. But let's just all be upfront	
09:26AM	11	about it.	
09:26AM	12	The difference is that Mawson's	
09:26AM	13	legal view changed between when it made this	
09:26AM	14	securities filing and when it I guess,	
09:26AM	15	withdrawn.	
09:26AM	16	Mawson's legal view, as of the	
09:26AM	17	time of the securities filing, was that it	
09:26AM	18	does owe this money, right?	
09:26AM	19	MR. MARTOS: Object to form.	
09:26AM	20	To the extent that you can	
09:26AM	21	answer the question without disclosing	
09:26AM	22	privileged information, please do.	
09:26AM	23	A. The document the sentence	
09:26AM	24	highlighted says that the amount is to be	
09:26AM	25	repaid.	

			219
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
09:27AM	2	Q. And Mawson's position has changed	
09:27AM	3	since then?	
09:27AM	4	A. Yes.	
09:27AM	5	Q. The facts haven't changed, right?	
09:27AM	6	A. No.	
09:27AM	7	MR. MCCARRICK: Okay. We can	
09:27AM	8	set that document aside.	
09:27AM	9	Is this a good time for a break?	
09:27AM	10	MR. MARTOS: Up to you. We can	
09:27AM	11	keep going. I want to just flag that	
09:27AM	12	Mr. Broadfoot is now at 11:30 p.m. in	
09:27AM	13	the evening. So I'm not sure how much	
09:27AM	14	you have left, but if there's a way to	
09:27AM	15	push through with limited breaks,	
09:27AM	16	we're happy to do that.	
09:27AM	17	If we need to take a different	
09:27AM	18	approach, then we understand that as	
09:27AM	19	well. But it will be limited by the	
09:27AM	20	witness's capacity to continue going	
09:27AM	21	late into the evening.	
09:27AM	22	MR. MCCARRICK: Yeah. Yeah.	
09:27AM	23	Just two points to make on that.	
09:28AM	24	The first thing I would say is,	
09:28AM	25	you've taken two breaks so far. This	

			238
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
09:58AM	2	has to be paid back to Celsius at the end of	
09:58AM	3	the contract?	
09:58AM	4	A. Any deposits.	
09:58AM	5	Q. Okay. And it's your testimony	
09:58AM	6	that Mr that Mawson's COO got that wrong,	
09:58AM	7	correct?	
09:58AM	8	A. At the time of that conversation,	
09:58AM	9	his understanding would not have been	
09:59AM	10	incorrect, that deposits were planning to be	
09:59AM	11	repaid at the end of the contract.	
09:59AM	12	Q. Right. So sitting here in	
09:59AM	13	April 2022, Mawson's COO was correct that at	
09:59AM	14	the end of the contract, all things as they	
09:59AM	15	were, at least as of that date, Mawson is	
09:59AM	16	obligated to refund Celsius' deposits at the	
09:59AM	17	end of the contract, correct?	
09:59AM	18	A. That is his view, yes.	
09:59AM	19	Q. And that was the same view that	
09:59AM	20	Mawson took in its May 2023 10-Q securities	
09:59AM	21	filing that we just reviewed a little	
09:59AM	22	earlier, correct?	
09:59AM	23	A. Correct.	
09:59AM	24	Q. Okay. Let's	
09:59AM	25	MR. MCCARRICK: You can take	

			241
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:02AM	2	A. That's correct.	
10:02AM	3	Q. In May of 2022, Mawson was	
10:02AM	4	obligated to refund the full deposit amount	
10:02AM	5	at the end of the contract, correct?	
10:02AM	6	A. Correct.	
10:02AM	7	Q. Let's look at the next e-mail up	
10:02AM	8	in the chain, which starts on page 2 and	
10:02AM	9	continues on page 3. It's from Jenny Fan at	
10:02AM	10	Celsius to Ms. Schmidt and the rest of the	
10:02AM	11	e-mail chain.	
10:02AM	12	Do you see that?	
10:02AM	13	A. I do.	
10:02AM	14	Q. Do you see where it says, "Please	
10:02AM	15	send us the actual hosting bill for April.	
10:02AM	16	We'd like to see the actual amount and should	
10:02AM	17	also apple to the deposits that we made."	
10:02AM	18	Do you see that?	
10:02AM	19	A. I do.	
10:02AM	20	Q. So here in May of 2022, Celsius is	
10:03AM	21	asking to offset the actual power cost bill	
10:03AM	22	against the deposits it's already paid,	
10:03AM	23	correct?	
10:03AM	24	A. I believe it's confirming how it	
10:03AM	25	should treat invoices between the two finance	

			267
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:34AM	2	A. It could have. I'd need to	
10:34AM	3	confirm.	
10:34AM	4	Q. Do you not know, sitting here	
10:34AM	5	today?	
10:34AM	6	A. Not with confidence to say under	
10:34AM	7	oath on that. We can provide proof of the	
10:34AM	8	payments.	
10:34AM	9	Q. Okay. Let's look at the first	
10:34AM	10	e-mail in the chain.	
10:34AM	11	Mr. Manning writes, "Joseph Just	
10:34AM	12	further to our conversation. To make this	
10:34AM	13	work in the timeframes we have, we will be	
10:34AM	14	using proceeds against existing ordersin	
10:34AM	15	the system."	
10:34AM	16	Do you see that?	
10:34AM	17	A. I do.	
10:34AM	18	Q. And that's consistent with what	
10:34AM	19	you testified earlier, right? Which is that	
10:34AM	20	the equipment was going to be subject to	
10:35AM	21	existing orders that Mawson and Cosmos had	
10:35AM	22	already placed, correct?	
10:35AM	23	A. Correct.	
10:35AM	24	Q. And it says, "using proceeds	
10:35AM	25	against" those "existing orders."	

			268
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:35AM	2	Do you see that?	
10:35AM	3	A. I do.	
10:35AM	4	Q. And it's your testimony that	
10:35AM	5	Mawson, sitting here today, can't say one way	
10:35AM	6	or the other whether or not those proceeds	
10:35AM	7	came from a Luna bank account, correct?	
10:35AM	8	A. I can't recall at this time.	
10:35AM	9	Q. All right. Do you see where it	
10:35AM	10	says, "I" I think that's supposed to be an	
10:35AM	11	"it" "will be the case that some of these	
10:35AM	12	will be internal, inter-group invoices that	
10:35AM	13	existing equipment that will be located in	
10:35AM	14	PA."	
10:35AM	15	Do you see that?	
10:35AM	16	A. I do.	
10:35AM	17	Q. Are you aware of a single	
10:35AM	18	internal, inter-group invoice between Mawson,	
10:35AM	19	Cosmos, and/or Luna for equipment located in	
10:35AM	20	PA?	
10:35AM	21	A. No.	
10:35AM	22	Q. Right. Because your testimony was	
10:36AM	23	that based on your review of the asset	
10:36AM	24	registers, at no point did Luna ever own any	
10:36AM	25	of the equipment or MDCs located in PA,	

			269
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:36AM	2	correct?	
10:36AM	3	A. Correct.	
10:36AM	4	Q. Okay. So when Mr. Manning says,	
10:36AM	5	"some of these will be internal, inter-group	
10:36AM	6	invoices," are you aware of any efforts that	
10:36AM	7	Mawson took after this e-mail was sent to	
10:36AM	8	make those kinds of internal, inter-group	
10:36AM	9	invoices?	
10:36AM	10	A. I'm not aware of any.	
10:36AM	11	Q. All right. Do you see when it	
10:36AM	12	says, a few sentences later, "We will in due	
10:36AM	13	course get the full details of this and raise	
10:36AM	14	an inter-group invoice for the MDC"?	
10:36AM	15	A. I do.	
10:36AM	16	Q. Did that ever happen?	
10:36AM	17	A. No.	
10:36AM	18	Q. Why not?	
10:37AM	19	A. I don't have an answer to that.	
10:37AM	20	Q. Do you know if Celsius was ever	
10:37AM	21	informed that this didn't happen?	
10:37AM	22	A. I know that they've been informed	
10:37AM	23	because they are not in the correct entities.	
10:37AM	24	I do not know the earliest date at which they	
10:37AM	25	were informed.	

			279
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:50AM	2	supposed to, under the deployment schedule,	
10:50AM	3	be able to host 30,000 units in June of 2022,	
10:50AM	4	correct?	
10:50AM	5	A. I believe it was July, but the	
10:50AM	6	point is the same.	
10:50AM	7	Q. So just to be clear, under the	
10:50AM	8	deployment schedule, Mawson was supposed to	
10:50AM	9	be able to deploy 30,000 Celsius units by	
10:50AM	10	July of 2022, correct?	
10:50AM	11	A. Correct.	
10:51AM	12	Q. And Mawson did not offer Celsius	
10:51AM	13	the ability to deploy the full balance of	
10:51AM	14	those 30,000 units until May or June of 2023,	
10:51AM	15	correct?	
10:51AM	16	A. That's correct.	
10:51AM	17	Q. So it took Mawson nearly a year to	
10:51AM	18	offer Celsius the full hosting capacity it	
10:51AM	19	was supposed to provide Celsius as of	
10:51AM	20	July 2022?	
10:51AM	21	A. That's correct.	
10:51AM	22	Q. And it's and in May and June of	
10:51AM	23	2023, Mawson offered to unhook 10,000 of its	
10:51AM	24	rigs to put 10,000 more of Celsius rigs	
10:51AM	25	online, correct?	

			282
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:55AM	2	A. I don't have the monthly figures	
10:55AM	3	at hand of the self-miners deployed.	
10:55AM	4	Q. We are talking thousands?	
10:55AM	5	A. Yes.	
10:55AM	6	Q. Okay. So at any point between	
10:55AM	7	July yeah, go ahead.	
10:55AM	8	A. The figures are publicly available	
10:55AM	9	in the monthly updates to check. I just	
10:55AM	10	could not recall a specific month on hand to	
10:55AM	11	get the number correct. I'm not avoiding.	
10:55AM	12	Q. Okay. Yeah, no, I understand	
10:56AM	13	that. And I'm just asking for a rough order	
10:56AM	14	of magnitude. So we can do the questioning	
10:56AM	15	in the abstract, right, as long as we agree	
10:56AM	16	that they were numbering in the thousands and	
10:56AM	17	that the numbering varied over time, that's	
10:56AM	18	fair?	
10:56AM	19	A. Yes, that's correct.	
10:56AM	20	Q. Okay. So between July 2022 and	
10:56AM	21	April 2023, did Mawson ever offer to unhook	
10:56AM	22	its thousands of rigs that it was using for	
10:56AM	23	self mining to put additional Celsius rigs	
10:56AM	24	online in accordance with the schedule	
10:56AM	25	provided for in the Co-Location Agreement?	

			283
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
10:56AM	2	A. No, it did not.	
10:56AM	3	Q. Why?	
10:56AM	4	A. It needed to maintain some self	
10:56AM	5	mining to be able to make enough money to	
10:56AM	6	continue to operate.	
10:56AM	7	Q. Okay. And so the commercial	
10:56AM	8	decision that Mawson made was that it was	
10:56AM	9	more economical to self mine than to offer	
10:57AM	10	Celsius the number of spots it was owed under	
10:57AM	11	the Co-Location Agreement, correct?	
10:57AM	12	A. The agreement for co-location was	
10:57AM	13	to build out facilities and host them, not to	
10:57AM	14	remove from existing operations to replace	
10:57AM	15	with Celsius.	
10:57AM	16	Q. So I understand that. I was just	
10:57AM	17	talking about why your generous offer of	
10:57AM	18	unhooking 10,000 rigs didn't come sooner.	
10:57AM	19	And so my question is: At any	
10:57AM	20	point during the parties' agreement, Mawson	
10:57AM	21	could have offered to unhook its thousands of	
10:57AM	22	rigs to offer Celsius the number of spaces	
10:57AM	23	that it otherwise would be entitled to under	
10:57AM	24	the Co-Location Agreement if the facilities	
10:57AM	25	had not been behind, in terms of	

			286
	1	T. BROADFOOT	
11:01AM	2	number of rig spaces at its Pennsylvania	
11:01AM	3	facilities that were called for under the	
11:01AM	4	schedule in the Co-Location Agreement?	
11:01AM	5	A. Correct.	
11:01AM	6	Q. I would you agree with me that	
11:01AM	7	it would make little commercial sense for	
11:01AM	8	Celsius to send rigs when there was not	
11:01AM	9	capacity to host them?	
11:01AM	10	A. No. It Celsius did not have	
11:02AM	11	them deployed elsewhere. There is no	
11:02AM	12	commercial reason to not deliver them.	
11:02AM	13	Q. So it's Mawson's view that Celsius	
11:02AM	14	should undertake the expense of shipping rigs	
11:02AM	15	that will sit idle?	
11:02AM	16	A. At the time that it was due to	
11:02AM	17	ship, it could not have said with certainty	
11:02AM	18	that they were going to sit idle.	
11:02AM	19	Q. Well, there were times when Mawson	
11:02AM	20	asked Celsius not to send rigs, correct?	
11:02AM	21	A. There is communication for	
11:02AM	22	scheduling deliveries that asked them to	
11:02AM	23	delay some.	
11:02AM	24	Q. And there were communications	
11:03AM	25	where Mawson asked Celsius to delay rig	